

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

2009 NOV 10 PM 6:05

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

SAINT-GOBAIN AUTOVER USA,)
INC., et al.,)

CASE NO. 1:06CV2781

PLAINTIFFS,)

JUDGE SARA LIOI

vs.)

XINYI GLASS NORTH AMERICA,)
INC., et al.,)

VERDICT FOR PLAINTIFFS

DEFENDANTS.)

We the jury, being duly impaneled and sworn, find in favor of the Plaintiffs, Saint-Gobain Autover, USA, Inc., Saint-Gobain Sekurit Mexico and Saint-Gobain Sekurit USA, Inc., and award compensatory damages as follows:

\$ 10,944,015.00;

and we do so render our verdict on this 10th day of November, 2009.

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

2009 NOV 10 PM 6:05

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

SAINT-GOBAIN AUTOVER USA,)
INC., et al.,)

CASE NO. 1:06CV2781

PLAINTIFFS,)

JUDGE SARA LIOI

vs.)

XINYI GLASS NORTH AMERICA,)
INC., et al.,)

VERDICT FOR DEFENDANTS

DEFENDANTS.)

We the jury, being duly impaneled and sworn, find in favor of the Defendants, Xinyi Glass North America, Inc. and Xinyi Automobile Glass Co., Ltd., and we do so render our verdict on this _____ day of November, 2009.

FW02067 Yes X No _____

FW02067 Yes X No _____

FW02124 Yes X No _____

FW02124 Yes X No _____

FW02248 Yes X No _____

FW02248 Yes X No _____

FW02249 Yes X No _____

FW02249 Yes X No _____

Please proceed to Interrogatory No. 2.

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 EASTERN DIVISION

2009 NOV 10 PM 6:05

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 AKRON

SAINT-GOBAIN AUTOVER USA,)
 INC., et al.,)
)
 PLAINTIFFS,)
)
 vs.)
)
 XINYI GLASS NORTH AMERICA,)
 INC., et al.,)
)
 DEFENDANTS.)

CASE NO. 1:06CV2781

JUDGE SARA LIOI

JURY INTERROGATORY NO. 2
 INFRINGEMENT - '669 Patent

With respect to each of the following Xinyi windshields, do you find that Saint-Gobain has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Xinyi has induced infringement of or contributorily infringed any of the asserted claims of the '669 patent?

Original Material:

Current Material:

DW01637	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02310	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02404	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02072	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02386	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02579	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02575	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02098	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02006	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02115	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

DW01637	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02310	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02404	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02072	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02386	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02579	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02575	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02098	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02006	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
FW02115	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

FW02067 Yes X No

FW02067 Yes X No

FW02124 Yes X No

FW02124 Yes X No

FW02248 Yes X No

FW02248 Yes X No

FW02249 Yes X No

FW02249 Yes X No

If you find infringement of either or both of the '669 and '395 patents with respect to any Xinyi windshield, please proceed to Interrogatory No. 3.

If you do not find infringement of either patent, please do not complete any other jury interrogatories. Instead, please sign the verdict form for the Defendants and notify the Court that you have reached a verdict.

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

2009 NOV 10 PM 6:05

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

SAINT-GOBAIN AUTOVER USA,)
INC., et al.,)

CASE NO. 1:06CV2781

PLAINTIFFS,)

JUDGE SARA LIOI

vs.)

XINYI GLASS NORTH AMERICA,)
INC., et al.,)

JURY INTERROGATORY NO. 3
DAMAGES-LOST PROFITS AND
PRICE EROSION

DEFENDANTS.)

Has Saint-Gobain proved by a preponderance of the evidence its lost profits and price erosion damages for Xinyi's infringement?

YES

NO

If so, please state the amount of the damages: \$ 10,896,558.00

Please proceed to Interrogatory No. 4.

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

2009 NOV 10 PM 6:05

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

SAINT-GOBAIN AUTOVER USA,)
INC., et al.,)

CASE NO. 1:06CV2781

PLAINTIFFS,)

JUDGE SARA LIOI

vs.)

XINYI GLASS NORTH AMERICA,)
INC., et al.,)

JURY INTERROGATORY NO. 4
REASONABLE ROYALTY

DEFENDANTS.)

To the extent Saint-Gobain has not proved lost profits and price erosion damages, has Saint-Gobain proved by a preponderance of the evidence reasonable royalty damages for Xinyi's infringement?

YES

NO

If so, please state the amount of the damages: \$ 47,457.00

Rate: 10%

Please complete the verdict form in favor of Plaintiffs and insert the sum of the total damages identified in answer to Interrogatory Nos. 3 and 4 on the appropriate line. Then please proceed to Interrogatory No. 5.

FILED

2009 NOV 10 PM 6:05

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
AKRON

**SAINT-GOBAIN AUTOVER USA,)
INC., et al.,)**

CASE NO. 1:06CV2781

PLAINTIFFS,)

JUDGE SARA LIOI

vs.)

**JURY INTERROGATORY NO. 5
WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT**

**XINYI GLASS NORTH AMERICA,)
INC., et al.,)**

DEFENDANTS.)

Has Saint-Gobain proved by clear and convincing evidence that Xinyi willfully infringed any of the claims of the '395 or '669 patents?

YES

NO

After answering Interrogatory No. 5, please notify the Court that you have reached a verdict.